

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/652,994	08/31/2000	Vishnu K. Agarwal	98-0616.01 4014		
7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER		
PAUL F. RUS	YN	FENTY, JESSE A			
DORSEY & W	HITNEY LLP				
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3400			2815		
SEATTLE WA	A 98101				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Summary		09/652,9		AGARWAL, VISHNU K.	_			
	,	Examine		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	Jesse A.		2815				
Period fo								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e lunication. O) days, a reply within the sta atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be timatutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>26 July 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	تسمر			
	closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte</i> Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 73-75,77 and 80 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 73-75,77 and 80 is/are reje Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co	onsideration. '					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	- · ·	•	• •				
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•	.	' \				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	iote the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive lle 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment	:(s)							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/26/4, 4/19/4</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) atte atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/14/04 has been entered.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 73-75, 77 and 80 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 81-87 of copending Application No. 10/805,118. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art

at the time of the invention to determine that the "passivated conductive layer" is actually created by "being exposed to a material selected from the group consisting of phophine and methylsilane," as recited in co-pending claim 81. This phrase is equivalent to claim 73 of the instant application that recites "the conductive layer being exposed to a material selected from the group consisting of phosphine and methylsilane to reduce an ability of the conductive layer to associate with oxygen."

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesse A. Fenty whose telephone number is 571-272-1729. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9 1st Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/652,994

Art Unit: 2815

Jesse A. Fenty

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 2815